

Exercise 1 : Invariance under dilatations

1.1.1 $S_{kin}[\phi'] = \int d^4x' \partial'_\mu \phi'(x') \partial'^\mu \phi'(x')$ (x' is a dummy variable
 \Rightarrow we could have called it x)

$$= \int d^4x' \lambda^{-2D_\phi} \partial'_\mu \phi(\lambda^{-1}x') \partial'^\mu \phi(\lambda^{-1}x')$$

$$\stackrel{(x'^\mu = \lambda x^\mu)}{=} \int d^4x \lambda^4 \lambda^{-2D_\phi} \lambda^{-2} \partial_\mu \phi(x) \partial^\mu \phi(x)$$

$S_{kin}[\phi'] = S_{kin}[\phi] \Rightarrow \underline{D_\phi = 1}$

Remark: it is important here to consider S and not \mathcal{L} since the jacobian is not vanishing:
 $d^4x' = \lambda^4 d^4x$

1.1.2 For the mass and potential term:

$$S_{pot}[\phi'] = \int d^4x' \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi'^2(x') - g \phi'^k(x') \right\}$$

$$= \int d^4x' \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} m^2 \lambda^{-2} \phi^2(\lambda^{-1}x') - g \lambda^{-k} \phi^k(\lambda^{-1}x') \right\}$$

$$= \int d^4x \lambda^4 \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} m^2 \lambda^{-2} \phi^2(x) - g \lambda^{-k} \phi^k(x) \right\}$$

$S_{pot}[\phi'] = S_{pot}[\phi] \Rightarrow \begin{cases} m=0 \\ k=6 \end{cases}$

1.2.3 * $\phi'(x) = \lambda^{-1} \phi(\lambda^{-1}x)$ $\lambda = 1 + \epsilon$ $\epsilon \ll 1$

$$= (1 - \epsilon) \phi((1 - \epsilon)x^\mu)$$

$$= (1 - \epsilon) \left(\phi(x) - \epsilon x^\mu \partial_\mu \phi(x) \right) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

$$= \phi(x) - \epsilon \phi(x) - \epsilon x^\mu \partial_\mu \phi(x) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

$(\phi((1 - \epsilon)x^\mu) = \phi(x^0 - \epsilon x^0, x^1 - \epsilon x^1, \dots))$
 $= \phi(x^\mu) - \epsilon x^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \phi(x) + \dots$
 $= \phi(x) - \epsilon x^\mu \partial_\mu \phi(x)$

* $x'^\mu = \lambda x^\mu$
 $= (1 + \epsilon) x^\mu$
 $= x^\mu + \epsilon x^\mu$

1.2.4 & 1.2.5

We can repeat the different steps of the derivation of the Noether current seen during the lectures.

We call $\mathcal{L}(x') = \mathcal{L}(\phi(x'), \partial'_\mu \phi(x'))$

and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(x) = \mathcal{L}(\phi(x), \partial_\mu \phi(x))$

$$\textcircled{1} \int_V d^4x' \mathcal{L}(x') = \int_V d^4x \mathcal{L}(x) + \int_V d^4x \partial_\mu (\mathcal{L} \delta x^\mu)$$

which becomes here:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V d^4x' \mathcal{L}(x') &= \int_V d^4x (1 + \epsilon) (\mathcal{L} + \epsilon x^\mu \partial_\mu \mathcal{L}) \\ &= \int_V d^4x (\mathcal{L} + 4\epsilon \mathcal{L} + \epsilon x^\mu \partial_\mu \mathcal{L}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{2} \int_V d^4x \mathcal{L}(\phi'(x), \partial_\mu \phi'(x)) &= \int_V d^4x \mathcal{L} + \int_V d^4x \left(\delta\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} + \partial_\mu \delta\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \\ &= S + \int_V d^4x \delta\phi \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right)}_{\text{Euler-Lagrange}} + \int_V d^4x \partial_\mu \left(\delta\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We can now compute the variation of the action and impose that it vanishes

$$S' = \int_V d^4x' \mathcal{L}(\phi'(x'), \partial'_\mu \phi'(x'))$$

(Notice that x' is a dummy variable: we could have called it x here also)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_V d^4x \left(\mathcal{L}(\phi'(x), \partial_\mu \phi'(x)) + \partial_\mu \left(\mathcal{L}(\phi'(x), \partial_\mu \phi'(x)) \delta x^\mu \right) \right) \\ &\quad \text{can be replaced by } \phi(x) \text{ up to } O(\epsilon^2) \text{ terms} \\ &= S + \int_V d^4x \delta\phi \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \right) + \int_V d^4x \partial_\mu \left(\delta\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} + \mathcal{L} \delta x^\mu \right) \end{aligned}$$

for the physical fields \Leftrightarrow solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations, we thus obtain (since $S' = S \forall V$):

$$\partial_\mu \left(\delta\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} + \mathcal{L} \delta x^\mu \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\delta_\mu = x_\mu \mathcal{L} - (\phi + x^\nu \partial_\nu \phi) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)}}$$

We can directly check that it is conserved when

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - g\phi^4$$

$$j_\mu = \frac{1}{2} x_\mu (\partial\phi)^2 - g x_\mu \phi^4 - (\phi + x^\nu \partial_\nu \phi) \partial_\mu \phi$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial^\mu j_\mu = \cancel{2(\partial\phi)^2} + \cancel{x^\mu \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \phi \partial^\nu \phi} - 4g\phi^4 - 4g x^\mu \partial_\mu \phi \phi^3 - (\phi + x^\nu \partial_\nu \phi) \square\phi - \cancel{(2\partial_\mu \phi + x^\nu \partial_\nu \partial_\mu \phi) \partial^\mu \phi}$$

we now use the equations of motion $\square\phi + 4g\phi^3 = 0$

$$= -4g\phi^4 - 4g x^\mu \partial_\mu \phi \phi^3 + (\phi + x^\nu \partial_\nu \phi) 4g\phi^3 = 0 \quad \text{as expected}$$

1.2.6 $T_{\mu\nu} = -\mathcal{L} \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial^\mu \phi)} \partial_\nu \phi$

$$= -\left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - g\phi^4\right) \eta_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi$$

We observe that with this Lagrangian $T_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric: $T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\nu\mu}$

$$x^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} x_\nu (\partial\phi)^2 + g x_\nu \phi^4 + x^\mu \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi$$

$$\Rightarrow x^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = -j_\nu - \phi \partial_\nu \phi$$

$$\underline{j_\mu = -x^\nu T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi^2}$$

Much could be said about this relation...

Exercise 2

1. We must compute $\langle \mathbb{E} | \hat{\phi}(x) | \mathbb{E} \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi(x)$ with $|\mathbb{E}\rangle$ satisfying $a_{\vec{q}} |\mathbb{E}\rangle = \alpha(\vec{q}) |\mathbb{E}\rangle$ and show that $(\square + m^2)\phi(x) = 0$

We first notice that $a_{\vec{q}} |\mathbb{E}\rangle = \alpha(\vec{q}) |\mathbb{E}\rangle \Rightarrow \langle \mathbb{E} | a_{\vec{q}}^\dagger = \alpha^*(\vec{q}) \langle \mathbb{E} |$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\vec{q}}} \left\{ \langle \mathbb{E} | a_{\vec{q}} | \mathbb{E} \rangle e^{-iqx} + \langle \mathbb{E} | a_{\vec{q}}^\dagger | \mathbb{E} \rangle e^{iqx} \right\} \\ &= \quad \quad \left\{ \alpha(\vec{q}) e^{-iqx} + \alpha^*(\vec{q}) e^{iqx} \right\} \langle \mathbb{E} | \mathbb{E} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$(\square + m^2)\phi(x) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_q} \left\{ \alpha(\vec{q}) (\square + m^2) e^{-iqx} + \alpha^*(\vec{q}) (\square + m^2) e^{iqx} \right\} \langle \mathbb{E} | \mathbb{E} \rangle$$

By definition of $q^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_q \\ q^i \end{pmatrix}$; $(\square + m^2) e^{\pm iqx} = 0$.

$\Rightarrow \underline{(\square + m^2)\phi(x) = 0}$ (which is non trivial only if $|\mathbb{E}\rangle$ is non vanishing, of course!)

2.

Assume that $|\mathbb{E}\rangle = |n\rangle = a_{\vec{k}_1}^+ \dots a_{\vec{k}_m}^+ |0\rangle = |1_{\vec{k}_1}; 1_{\vec{k}_2}; \dots; 1_{\vec{k}_m}\rangle$
 (we disregard here the normalizations of the states that play no role in this argument).

Thus $a_{\vec{q}} |\mathbb{E}\rangle = a_{\vec{q}} |1_{\vec{k}_1}; \dots; 1_{\vec{k}_m}\rangle$

Since $a_{\vec{q}} |0\rangle = 0$, the only possibility to get something non vanishing is that \vec{q} is one of the \vec{k}_i 's. But in this case $a_{\vec{q}} |\mathbb{E}\rangle$ is a state describing a system of $n-1$ particles and can therefore not be proportional to $|\mathbb{E}\rangle$. This result can be generalized to a state which is a linear combination of states having at most n particles: $|\mathbb{E}\rangle = a_0 |0\rangle + a_1 |1\rangle + \dots + a_n |n\rangle$ cannot be an eigenstate of $a_{\vec{q}}$. Thus it can only be an infinite series of such states.

The argument above can be retrieved in a rigorous way:

- * $a_{\vec{q}} |0\rangle = 0$
- * $a_{\vec{q}} a_{\vec{k}_1}^+ |0\rangle = [a_{\vec{q}}, a_{\vec{k}_1}^+] |0\rangle = (2\pi)^3 2\omega_q \delta(\vec{q} - \vec{k}_1) |0\rangle$
- * $a_{\vec{q}} a_{\vec{k}_1}^+ a_{\vec{k}_2}^+ |0\rangle = (a_{\vec{k}_1}^+ a_{\vec{q}} + [a_{\vec{q}}, a_{\vec{k}_1}^+]) a_{\vec{k}_2}^+ |0\rangle$
 $= (a_{\vec{k}_1}^+ a_{\vec{q}} a_{\vec{k}_2}^+ + (2\pi)^3 2\omega_q \delta(\vec{q} - \vec{k}_1) a_{\vec{k}_2}^+) |0\rangle$
 $= (a_{\vec{k}_1}^+ a_{\vec{k}_2}^+ a_{\vec{q}} + (2\pi)^3 2\omega_q [\delta(\vec{q} - \vec{k}_1) a_{\vec{k}_2}^+ + \delta(\vec{q} - \vec{k}_2) a_{\vec{k}_1}^+]) |0\rangle$
 $= (2\pi)^3 2\omega_q (\delta(\vec{q} - \vec{k}_1) a_{\vec{k}_2}^+ + \delta(\vec{q} - \vec{k}_2) a_{\vec{k}_1}^+) |0\rangle$

It is trivial to iterate this relation:

- * $a_{\vec{q}} a_{\vec{k}_1}^+ \dots a_{\vec{k}_m}^+ |0\rangle = (2\pi)^3 2\omega_q (\delta(\vec{q} - \vec{k}_1) a_{\vec{k}_2}^+ \dots a_{\vec{k}_m}^+ + \delta(\vec{q} - \vec{k}_2) a_{\vec{k}_1}^+ \dots a_{\vec{k}_{i-1}}^+ a_{\vec{k}_{i+1}}^+ \dots a_{\vec{k}_m}^+ + \dots + \delta(\vec{q} - \vec{k}_m) a_{\vec{k}_1}^+ \dots a_{\vec{k}_{m-1}}^+) |0\rangle$

which is not proportional to $a_{\vec{k}_1}^+ \dots a_{\vec{k}_m}^+ |0\rangle$ even after integration on momenta.

3. $|\Phi\rangle$ is taken as a general series of n -particle states: [5]

$$|\Phi\rangle = N \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3} \dots \frac{d^3 p_n}{(2\pi)^3} z(p_1) \dots z(p_n) a_{p_1}^+ \dots a_{p_n}^+ |0\rangle$$

$$a_{\vec{q}} |\Phi\rangle = N \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \int \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^3} z(p_i) \right) a_{\vec{q}} a_{p_1}^+ \dots a_{p_n}^+ |0\rangle$$

$$= N \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3} \dots \frac{d^3 p_n}{(2\pi)^3} z(p_1) \dots z(p_n) \left\{ \delta(\vec{q}-\vec{p}_1) a_{p_1}^+ \dots a_{p_n}^+ + \dots + \delta(\vec{q}-\vec{p}_n) a_{p_1}^+ \dots a_{p_{n-1}}^+ \right\} |0\rangle$$

$$= N \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m C_m \int \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^3} z(p_i) \right) a_{p_1}^+ \dots a_{p_{m-1}}^+ 2\omega_{\vec{q}} z(\vec{q})$$

$$= N 2\omega_{\vec{q}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1) C_{m+1} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3} \dots \frac{d^3 p_m}{(2\pi)^3} z(p_1) \dots z(p_m) a_{p_1}^+ \dots a_{p_m}^+ |0\rangle$$

$$= \alpha(\vec{q}) N \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C_m \quad //$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha(\vec{q}) = 2\omega_{\vec{q}} z(\vec{q}) \\ C_{m+1} = \frac{C_m}{m+1} \end{cases} \Rightarrow C_m = \frac{C_0}{m!}$$

4. $|\Phi\rangle = N C_0 e^{\int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} 2\omega_{\vec{p}} \alpha(\vec{p}) a_{\vec{p}}^+} |0\rangle$

5. $\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle = |N C_0|^2 \langle 0 | e^{\underbrace{\int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} 2\omega_{\vec{p}} \alpha^*(\vec{p}) a_{\vec{p}}}_{A}} e^{\underbrace{\int \frac{d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} 2\omega_{\vec{p}'} \alpha(\vec{p}') a_{\vec{p}'}^+}_{B}} |0\rangle$

$$[A, B] = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} 2\omega_{\vec{p}} \frac{d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} 2\omega_{\vec{p}'}, \alpha^*(\vec{p}) \alpha(\vec{p}') [a_{\vec{p}}, a_{\vec{p}'}^+]$$

$$= \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} 2\omega_{\vec{p}} |\alpha(\vec{p})|^2 \quad //$$

Since $[A, B]$ is proportional to the identity, it commutes with both A and B and the Campbell-Hausdorff formula boils down to $e^A e^B = e^{A+B + \frac{1}{2}[A, B]}$ (no nested commutator)

Thus: $\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle = |N C_0|^2 \langle 0 | e^{\int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} 2\omega_{\vec{p}} (\alpha_{\vec{p}} a_{\vec{p}}^+ + \alpha_{\vec{p}}^* a_{\vec{p}})} + \frac{|\alpha_{\vec{p}}|^2}{2} |0\rangle$

But it is not so easy to compute $\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle$ with this expression because $\langle 0 | (\alpha_{\vec{p}_1} a_{\vec{p}_1}^+ + \alpha_{\vec{p}_1}^* a_{\vec{p}_1}) \dots (\alpha_{\vec{p}_n} a_{\vec{p}_n}^+ + \alpha_{\vec{p}_n}^* a_{\vec{p}_n}) |0\rangle$

is not simple. What is simple to compute is

$A |0\rangle$ and $\langle 0 | B$. The idea is therefore to commute A and B .

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^B e^A &= e^{A+B + \frac{1}{2} [B,A]} \\
 &= e^{A+B + \frac{1}{2} [A,B] - [A,B]} \\
 &= e^{A+B + \frac{1}{2} [A,B]} e^{-[A,B]} \quad \text{because } [A,B] \propto 1 \\
 &= e^A e^B e^{-[A,B]}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle 0 | e^A e^B | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | e^B e^A e^{[A,B]} | 0 \rangle = e^{[A,B]} \langle 0 | e^B e^A | 0 \rangle$$

$$e^A | 0 \rangle = | 0 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle 0 | e^B = \langle 0 |$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle = N C_0 |^2 e^{\int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_k} |\alpha(k)|^2}$$

$$\text{we can choose } N C_0 \in \mathbb{R} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \underline{N C_0 = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_k} |\alpha(k)|^2}}$$

6. We want to show that there is no state satisfying $\langle \Phi | \hat{\Psi}_\alpha(x) | \Phi \rangle = \Psi_\alpha(x)$ with $(iD - m) \Psi(x) = 0$ or that there is no eigenstate of $b_{s,q}$ and/or $c_{s,q}$ where

$$\hat{\Psi}(x) = \sum_{s=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_k} \left\{ b_{s,q} u_{s,q} e^{-ikx} + c_{s,q}^\dagger v_{s,q} e^{ikx} \right\}$$

The argument follows the one of the scalar field with the difference that now the b and c operators satisfy anti commutation relations that prevent two particles to be in the same state. Put it differently, $|\Phi\rangle$ is a general linear combination of states:

$$|b, \vec{k}_1, s_1; \dots; b, \vec{k}_n, s_n; c, \vec{k}'_1, s'_1; \dots; c, \vec{k}'_p, s'_p\rangle$$

describing a state involving n particles of type b with momenta and spin states \vec{k}_i, s_i and p particles of type c with momenta and spins \vec{k}'_i, s'_i .

Acting with either $b_{\vec{k},s}$ or $c_{\vec{k},s}$ on such a state gives something non vanishing only if (respectively) (\vec{k},s) is one of the (\vec{k}_i, s_i) (resp. (\vec{k},s) is one of the (\vec{k}'_i, s'_i)).

In this case, the action of either $b_{\vec{k},s}$ or $c_{\vec{k},s}$ yields a state with one less particle that can therefore not be proportional to $|\Phi\rangle \Rightarrow$ an infinite series is again needed.

We therefore consider an infinite series of states proportional to $|b_{\vec{k}_1, \Delta_1}^\dagger; \dots; c_{\vec{k}_n, \Delta_n}^\dagger\rangle$.

Let us first consider the series of states involving only type b particles:

$$|\mathbb{E}\rangle = C_0 |0\rangle + C_1 \sum_{\Delta_1=1}^2 \int_{\vec{k}_1} z_1 b_1^\dagger |0\rangle + C_2 \sum_{\Delta_1, \Delta_2} \int_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2} z_1 z_2 b_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger |0\rangle + \dots$$

where we have defined

$$\int_{\vec{k}_1} = \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}_1}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\vec{k}_1}}, \quad z_1 = z(\vec{k}_1, \Delta_1), \quad b_1^\dagger = b_{\vec{k}_1, \Delta_1}^\dagger$$

(here we change the normalization of the $z(q)$ as compared to Eq. (10))

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\vec{q}, \Delta} |\mathbb{E}\rangle &= C_1 \sum_{\Delta_1} \int_{\vec{k}_1} z_1 b_{\vec{q}, \Delta}^\dagger b_1^\dagger |0\rangle + C_2 \sum_{\Delta_1, \Delta_2} \int_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2} z_1 z_2 b_{\vec{q}, \Delta}^\dagger b_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger |0\rangle + \dots \\ &= C_1 \sum_{\Delta_1} \int_{\vec{k}_1} z_1 (2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\vec{k}_1} \delta(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{q}) \delta_{\Delta \Delta_1} |0\rangle \\ &\quad + C_2 \sum_{\Delta_1, \Delta_2} \int_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2} z_1 z_2 (2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\vec{q}} \left(\delta(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{q}) \delta_{\Delta \Delta_1} b_2^\dagger |0\rangle - \delta(\vec{k}_2 - \vec{q}) \delta_{\Delta \Delta_2} b_1^\dagger |0\rangle \right) \\ &\quad + C_3 \sum_{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3} \int_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} z_1 z_2 z_3 2\omega_{\vec{q}} \left(\delta(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{q}) \delta_{\Delta \Delta_1} b_2^\dagger b_3^\dagger - \delta(\vec{k}_2 - \vec{q}) \delta_{\Delta \Delta_2} b_1^\dagger b_3^\dagger \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \delta(\vec{k}_3 - \vec{q}) \delta_{\Delta \Delta_3} b_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger \right) |0\rangle \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &= C_1 \sqrt{z_{\vec{q}, \Delta}} |0\rangle + C_3 \sum_{\Delta_1, \Delta_2} \int_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2} z_{\vec{q}, \Delta} z_1 z_2 b_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger |0\rangle + C_5 \dots \end{aligned}$$

If $|\mathbb{E}\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $b_{\vec{q}, \Delta}$: $b_{\vec{q}, \Delta} |\mathbb{E}\rangle = \beta_{\vec{q}, \Delta} |\mathbb{E}\rangle$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 z(\vec{q}, \Delta) &= \beta_{\vec{q}, \Delta} C_0 \\ 0 &= \beta_{\vec{q}, \Delta} C_1 \\ C_3 z(\vec{q}, \Delta) &= C_2 \beta_{\vec{q}, \Delta} \\ 0 &= C_3 \beta_{\vec{q}, \Delta} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

(notice that the c particles are spectators in this argument that can be generalized to include them)

Thus $C_i = 0, \forall i$ and $|\mathbb{E}\rangle = 0$. The argument can of course be repeated for the particles of type c and for a general state involving both types of particles \Rightarrow no eigenstate of $b_{\vec{q}, \Delta}$ and $c_{\vec{q}, \Delta}^\dagger$.

Exercice 3

We define $\int_{k_1} = \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{k_1}}$

1. $D(x, y) = \langle 0 | \phi(x) \phi(y) | 0 \rangle$
 $= \int_{k_1, k_2} \langle 0 | (a_{k_1} e^{-ik_1 x} + a_{k_1}^\dagger e^{ik_1 x}) (a_{k_2} e^{-ik_2 y} + a_{k_2}^\dagger e^{ik_2 y}) | 0 \rangle$
 $= \int_{k_1, k_2} \langle 0 | a_{k_1}^\dagger a_{k_2} | 0 \rangle e^{-ik_1 x} e^{ik_2 y}$
 $= \int_{k_1, k_2} (2\pi)^3 2\omega_{k_2} \delta(k_1 - k_2) e^{-ik_1 x + ik_2 y}$
 $= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_k} e^{-ik \cdot (x - y)}$

2. $D_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) = \langle 0 | \psi_\alpha(x) \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) | 0 \rangle$

$D_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) = \sum_{\Delta_1, \Delta_2} \int_{k_1, k_2} \langle 0 | (b_{k_1, \Delta_1} u_{\Delta_1, \alpha}(k_1) e^{-ik_1 x} + c_{k_1, \Delta_1}^\dagger \bar{v}_{\Delta_1, \alpha}(k_1) e^{ik_1 x}) \cdot (b_{k_2, \Delta_2}^\dagger \bar{u}_{\Delta_2, \beta}(k_2) e^{ik_2 y} + c_{k_2, \Delta_2}^\dagger \bar{v}_{\Delta_2, \beta}(k_2) e^{-ik_2 y}) | 0 \rangle$
 $= \sum_{\Delta_1, \Delta_2} \int_{k_1, k_2} \langle 0 | b_{k_1, \Delta_1} b_{k_2, \Delta_2}^\dagger | 0 \rangle u_{\Delta_1, \alpha}(k_1) \bar{u}_{\Delta_2, \beta}(k_2) e^{-ik_1 x + ik_2 y}$
 $= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{k_1}} \sum_{\Delta_1} u_{\Delta_1, \alpha}(k_1) \bar{u}_{\Delta_1, \beta}(k_1) e^{-ik_1(x-y)}$
 $= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{k_1}} (k_1 + m \mathbb{1})_{\alpha\beta} e^{-ik_1(x-y)}$
 $= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_k} (i \not{\partial}_x + m \mathbb{1})_{\alpha\beta} e^{-ik(x-y)} \quad \left(\not{\partial}_x = \gamma^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \right)$
 $= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_k} (-i \not{\partial}_y + m \mathbb{1})_{\alpha\beta} e^{-ik(x-y)}$
 $= (i \not{\partial}_x + m \mathbb{1})_{\alpha\beta} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_k} e^{-ik(x-y)} = (-i \not{\partial}_y + m \mathbb{1})_{\alpha\beta} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_k} e^{-ik(x-y)}$