

## Solution of the mid-term exam, November 2021

1. In a passive rotation, the vector field  $\vec{V}$  transforms as:

$$V'_i(\vec{x}') = R_{ij} V_j(\vec{x}) \quad \text{with } x'_i = R_{ij} x_j$$

2.  $S = \int d^3x \partial_i A_j(x) \partial_i A_j(x) = S[\vec{A}(x)]$

For the new field

$$S[\vec{A}'(\vec{x}')] = \int d^3\vec{x}' \partial'_i A'_j(x') \partial'_i A'_j(x') \quad (\text{with } \partial'_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^i})$$

$$d^3\vec{x}' = d^3\vec{x} \quad \text{because } \det R = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow S[A'_j(x')] &= \int d^3x \quad R_{ik} \partial_k (R_{je} A_e(x)) R_{im} \partial_m (R_{jn} A_n(x)) \\ &= \int d^3x \quad ({}^e R_{ki} R_{im}) ({}^e R_{ej} R_{jn}) \partial_k A_e(x) \partial_m A_n(x) \\ &= \int d^3x \quad \partial_m A_n(x) \partial_m A_n(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since  ${}^e R_{ki} R_{im} = ({}^e R R)_{km} = \delta_{km}$  because  $R \in SO(3)$

3.a  $A'^M(x') = \Lambda^M{}_\nu A^\nu(x) \quad \text{with } x'^M = \Lambda^M{}_\nu x^\nu$

3.b  $\eta_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\mu{}_\rho \Lambda^\nu{}_\sigma = \eta_{\rho\sigma}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{\mu, \nu} ({}^e \Lambda)^\rho{}_\mu \eta_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\nu{}_\sigma = \eta_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \det({}^e \Lambda \eta \Lambda) = \det \eta$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\det \Lambda)^2 \det \eta = \det \eta$$

$$\Rightarrow (\det \Lambda)^2 = 1 \quad \Rightarrow |\det \Lambda| = 1$$

For a Lorentz boost that can be obtained by composition of infinitesimal Lorentz boosts that are infinitesimally close to the identity  $\det \Lambda = +1$



4.  $S = \int d^4x \partial_\mu A_\nu(x) \partial^\mu A^\nu(x)$

for the new field

$S' = \int d^4x' \partial'_\mu A'_\nu(x') \partial'^\mu A'^\nu(x')$  (with  $\partial'_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\mu}$ )

$d^4x' = d^4x$  for Lorentz boosts

$S' = \int d^4x \Lambda_\mu^\rho \partial_\rho (\Lambda_\nu^\sigma A_\sigma(x)) \Lambda^\mu \tau \partial^\tau (\Lambda^\nu_\delta A^\delta(x))$   
 $= \int d^4x \Lambda_\mu^\rho \Lambda_\nu^\sigma \Lambda^\mu \tau \Lambda^\nu_\delta \partial_\rho A_\sigma \partial^\tau A^\delta$

$Q = \Lambda_\mu^\rho \Lambda^\mu \tau = \eta_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma \eta^{\sigma\rho} \Lambda^\mu \tau$

using  $\eta_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma \Lambda^\mu \tau = \eta_{\sigma\tau}$

$\Rightarrow Q = \eta_{\sigma\tau} \eta^{\sigma\rho} = \delta_\tau^\rho$

$\Rightarrow S' = \int d^4x \delta_\tau^\rho \delta^\tau_\delta \partial_\rho A_\sigma \partial^\tau A^\delta$   
 $= \int d^4x \partial_\tau A_\delta \partial^\tau A^\delta$   
 $= S$

$\Rightarrow S$  is invariant under Lorentz transformations

5. Under translations

$A'_\mu(x') = A_\mu(x)$  for  $x'^\mu = x^\mu + a^\mu$

$S' = \int d^4x' \partial'_\mu A'_\nu(x') \partial'^\mu A'^\nu(x')$

$d^4x' = d^4x$  and  $\partial'^\mu = \partial^\mu$

$\Rightarrow S' = \int d^4x \partial_\mu A_\nu(x) \partial^\mu A^\nu(x)$   
 $= S$

6:  $Z(x)$  is a spinor field  $\Rightarrow Z'_\alpha(x') = U_{\alpha\beta} Z_\beta(x)$

with  $x'_i = R_{ij} x_j$  and  $U \in SU(2)$ :  $R = R(\vec{\theta})$  and  $U = U(\vec{\theta})$



$$V(x) = Z^\dagger(x) \sigma_i \partial_i Z(x)$$

$$V'(x') = Z'^\dagger(x') \sigma_i \partial_i Z'(x')$$

$$= Z'^\dagger_\alpha(x') (\sigma_i)_{\alpha\beta} \partial_i Z'_\beta(x')$$

$$= Z^\dagger_\delta(x) U^\dagger_{\delta\alpha} (\sigma_i)_{\alpha\beta} R_{ij} \partial_j U_{\beta\sigma} Z_\sigma(x)$$

$$= R_{ij} Z^\dagger_\delta(x) U^\dagger_{\delta\alpha} (\sigma_i)_{\alpha\beta} U_{\beta\sigma} \partial_j Z_\sigma(x)$$

Using  $U^\dagger \sigma_i U = R_{ij} \sigma_j$  we get

$$V'(x') = R_{ij} R_{ik} Z^\dagger_\delta(x) (\sigma_k)_{\delta\sigma} \partial_j Z_\sigma(x)$$

$$= ({}^k R R)_{jk} Z^\dagger(x) \sigma_k \partial_j Z(x)$$

$$= Z^\dagger(x) \sigma_j \partial_j Z(x)$$

$$= V(x) \Rightarrow V \text{ is a scalar field}$$

7.a There are two types of Lorentz spinors with two components:

\*  $\chi_L$  that transforms with  $M_1(\vec{\theta}, \vec{\varphi})$

\*  $\chi_R$  " " "  $M_2(\vec{\theta}, \vec{\varphi})$

7.b The generalization is obtained by defining

$$V(x) = \chi_L^\dagger(x) \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_L(x)$$

with  $\sigma^\mu = (1, -\vec{\sigma}) = (1, -\sigma_i)$

It is obviously invariant under rotations from 6 and the proof that it is also under Lorentz boosts has been done during the lectures: take an infinitesimal boost along  $\hat{n}$  and compute;



$$\begin{aligned}
 (\chi_L^\dagger \sigma^\mu \chi_L)' &= \begin{cases} \mu=0 : (\chi_L^\dagger \chi_L)' = \chi_L^\dagger (1 + \sigma_1 d\phi) \chi_L \\ \mu=i : (\chi_L^\dagger \sigma_i \chi_L)' = \chi_L^\dagger (1 + \sigma_i d\phi) \sigma_i (1 + \sigma_1 d\phi) \chi_L \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d\phi \\ d\phi & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \chi_L^\dagger \sigma^\mu \chi_L
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow V^\mu = \chi_L^\dagger \sigma^\mu \chi_L \text{ is a 4-vector} \Rightarrow V'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu \chi_L^\dagger \sigma^\nu \chi_L$$

$$\Rightarrow V' = \Lambda^\mu_\nu \Lambda_\mu^\rho \chi_L^\dagger \sigma^\nu \partial_\rho \chi_L$$

$$= \delta_\nu^\rho \chi_L^\dagger \sigma^\nu \partial_\rho \chi_L = \chi_L^\dagger \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_L = V$$

$\Rightarrow V$  is a scalar

## Exercise 2

2.1  $\mathcal{L} = i \bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m \psi ; \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi = 0$$

Let us notice that the Euler-Lagrange for  $\psi$  leads to

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi} = -m \bar{\psi} \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \psi)} = i \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow -m \bar{\psi} - i \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu = 0$$

Take the hermitic conjugate of this equation:

$$m (\psi^\dagger \gamma^0)^\dagger - i \gamma^{\mu\dagger} (\partial_\mu \psi^\dagger \gamma^0)^\dagger = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow m \psi^\dagger - i \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \partial_\mu \psi = 0$$

where we have used  $\gamma^{\mu\dagger} = \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0$  and  $(\gamma^0)^\dagger = \gamma^0$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma^0 (m \psi - i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi = 0 \Rightarrow$  the two Euler-Lagrange equations are hermitic conjugate



2.2 We assume that  $\psi'_\alpha(x') = S_{\alpha\beta}(x) \psi_\beta(x)$  and  $\psi'_\alpha(x)$  satisfies also the Dirac equation

$$\Rightarrow (i \gamma^\mu \partial'_\mu - m) \psi'(x') = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (i \gamma^\mu \Lambda_\mu^\rho \partial_\rho - m) S \psi(x) = 0$$

by multiplying this equation by  $S^{-1}$  and comparing with the Dirac equation for  $\psi(x)$  we get:

$$i S^{-1} \gamma^\mu S \Lambda_\mu^\rho \partial_\rho \psi = i \gamma^\nu \partial_\nu \psi$$

$$\Rightarrow S^{-1} \gamma^\mu S = \Lambda^\mu_\nu \gamma^\nu \Rightarrow (S^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma^\mu)_{\beta\delta} S_{\delta\rho} = \Lambda^\mu_\nu (\gamma^\nu)_{\alpha\rho}$$

3.a 
$$S = 1 - \frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} (\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}$$

for each couple of indices  $\mu, \nu$ ,  $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$  is a  $4 \times 4$  matrix:  $(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}$  with  $\alpha, \beta = 1-4$ .

3.b The set of parameters  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$  is antisymmetric so that the symmetric part in  $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$  would play no role  $\Rightarrow$  we can choose it antisymmetric

3.c 
$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \alpha [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 + \frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{\tau\delta} \sigma^{\tau\delta}) \gamma^\mu (1 - \frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{\tau\delta} \sigma^{\tau\delta}) = \Lambda^\mu_\nu \gamma^\nu = \gamma^\mu + \epsilon^\mu_\nu \gamma^\nu$$

We therefore compute

$$A = \sigma^{\tau\delta} \gamma^\mu - \gamma^\mu \sigma^{\tau\delta} = \alpha [\gamma^\tau, \gamma^\delta] \gamma^\mu - \alpha \gamma^\mu [\gamma^\tau, \gamma^\delta] = \alpha (\gamma^\tau \gamma^\delta \gamma^\mu - \gamma^\delta \gamma^\tau \gamma^\mu - \gamma^\mu \gamma^\tau \gamma^\delta + \gamma^\mu \gamma^\delta \gamma^\tau)$$



$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^\tau \gamma^\delta \gamma^\mu &= -\gamma^\tau \gamma^\mu \gamma^\delta + 2\gamma^{\mu\delta} \gamma^\tau \\ &= \gamma^\mu \gamma^\tau \gamma^\delta + 2\gamma^{\mu\delta} \gamma^\tau - 2\gamma^{\mu\tau} \gamma^\delta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow A^{\tau\delta\mu} &= \alpha \left( \gamma^\mu \gamma^\tau \gamma^\delta + 2\gamma^{\mu\delta} \gamma^\tau - 2\gamma^{\mu\tau} \gamma^\delta \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \gamma^\mu \gamma^\delta \gamma^\tau - 2\gamma^{\mu\tau} \gamma^\delta + 2\gamma^{\mu\delta} \gamma^\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \gamma^\mu \gamma^\tau \gamma^\delta + \gamma^\mu \gamma^\delta \gamma^\tau \right) \\ &= 4\alpha \left( \gamma^{\mu\delta} \gamma^\tau - \gamma^{\mu\tau} \gamma^\delta \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{\tau\delta} A^{\tau\delta\mu} &= i\alpha \epsilon_{\tau\delta} \left( \gamma^{\mu\delta} \gamma^\tau - \gamma^{\mu\tau} \gamma^\delta \right) \\ &= 2i\alpha \epsilon_{\tau\delta} \gamma^{\mu\delta} \gamma^\tau \\ &= -2i\alpha \epsilon_{\delta\tau} \gamma^{\mu\delta} \gamma^\tau \\ &= -2i\alpha \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\nu \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{i}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$$

2.4  $\sigma_{23} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^2, \gamma^3] = \frac{i}{2} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2 \\ -\sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_3 \\ -\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} \right]$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -[\sigma_2, \sigma_3] & 0 \\ 0 & -[\sigma_2, \sigma_3] \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} 2i (-i) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & \\ & \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma_1}{2} & \\ & \frac{\sigma_1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(there was a mismatch of a factor 2 with the rest!)}.$$

$\Rightarrow \sigma_{23} = 2S_1$  (and not  $S_1$ ).

In a rotation around  $\hat{x}$ :

$$S_x = 1 - \frac{i}{4} (\epsilon_{23} \sigma^{23} + \epsilon_{32} \sigma^{32}) = 1 - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{23} \sigma^{23} = 1 + \frac{i}{2} d\theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma_1}{2} \\ & \frac{\sigma_1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is the expected rotation on  $\begin{pmatrix} x_L \\ x_R \end{pmatrix}$ .

For a boost:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{01} &= \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^0, \gamma^1] = \frac{i}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= i \begin{bmatrix} -\sigma_1 & \\ & \sigma_1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and more generally

$$\sigma^{0i} = 2i \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sigma_i}{2} & \\ & \frac{\sigma_i}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Rightarrow$  for a boost along  $\hat{x}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S &= 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} (\epsilon_{01} \sigma^{01} + \epsilon_{10} \sigma^{10}) \\ &= 1 - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{01} \sigma^{01} \\ &= 1 + \frac{i}{2} d\phi_1 2i \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sigma_1}{2} & \\ & \frac{\sigma_1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 1 + d\phi_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma_1}{2} & \\ & \frac{\sigma_1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which is the expected transformation for  $\begin{pmatrix} x_L \\ x_R \end{pmatrix}$ .

$$5. \quad h(\vec{p}) = \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|}$$

Let us first compute the eigenvalues of  $\frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} &= d \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{|\vec{p}|} (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^2 \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} &= d^2 \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{but } (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^2 &= p_i p_j \sigma_i \sigma_j \\ &= p_i p_j (\delta_{ij} 1 + i \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k) \\ &= \vec{p}^2 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^2}{|\vec{p}|^2} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d = \pm 1$$



$\Rightarrow$  the eigenvalues of  $h(\vec{p}) = \frac{\vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}$  are  $\pm \frac{1}{2}$

$$6. \quad \frac{1+\gamma^5}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} \quad \frac{1-\gamma^5}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

They are obviously projectors.

$$\gamma^5 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma^5 \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$7. \quad (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \partial_0 + i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_i - m \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} i(\partial_0 + \sigma_i \partial_i) \chi_R - m \chi_L = 0 \\ i(\partial_0 - \sigma_i \partial_i) \chi_L - m \chi_R = 0 \end{cases}$$

Obviously, when  $m=0$ , the chiral components satisfy decoupled equations.

### Exercise 3

$$1. \quad \text{Call } R = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow (\psi'_i(x)) = R_{ij} \psi_j(x)$$

$\Leftarrow$   $\psi_1(x)$  and  $\psi_2(x)$  are 2-component bi-spinors. Thus, the above relation means

$$[\psi'_i(x)]_\alpha = R_{ij} [\psi_j(x)]_\alpha$$

$$\text{or } \psi'_1(x) = \cos\theta \psi_1(x) + \sin\theta \psi_2(x) \Rightarrow [\psi'_1(x)]_\alpha = \cos\theta [\psi_1(x)]_\alpha + \sin\theta [\psi_2(x)]_\alpha$$



$$\psi'_i = R_{ij} \psi_j \quad \Rightarrow \quad \psi_i'^{\dagger} = R_{ij}^{\dagger} \psi_j^{\dagger} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\psi}'_i(x) = R_{ij} \bar{\psi}_j(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{kin}(\psi') &= i \bar{\psi}'_i(x) \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi'_i(x) \\ &= i R_{ij} \bar{\psi}_j(x) \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} (R_{ik} \psi_k(x)) \\ &= i R_{ij} R_{ik} \bar{\psi}_j(x) \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi_k(x) \\ &= i \bar{\psi}_j(x) \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi_j(x) \end{aligned}$$

We can see that neither  $\gamma^{\mu}$  nor  $\partial_{\mu}$  plays any role in this calculation. Therefore, exactly the same calculation holds for  $\bar{\psi}_i \psi_i$ :

$$(\bar{\psi}_i(x) \psi_i(x))' = \bar{\psi}_i(x) \psi_i(x)$$

and therefore  $\mathcal{L}_{int}(\psi') = \mathcal{L}_{int}(\psi)$

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \quad m_1 (\bar{\psi}_1(x) \psi_1(x))' &= m_1 (\cos\theta \bar{\psi}_1 + \sin\theta \bar{\psi}_2) (\cos\theta \psi_1 + \sin\theta \psi_2) \\ &= m_1 (\cos^2\theta \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1 + \sin^2\theta \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_2 + \cos\theta \sin\theta \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_2 \\ &\quad + \cos\theta \sin\theta \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_2 (\bar{\psi}_2(x) \psi_2(x))' &= m_2 (-\sin\theta \bar{\psi}_1 + \cos\theta \bar{\psi}_2) (-\sin\theta \psi_1 + \cos\theta \psi_2) \\ &= m_2 (\sin^2\theta \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1 + \cos^2\theta \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_2 - \sin\theta \cos\theta (\bar{\psi}_1 \psi_2 + \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_1)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow -\mathcal{L}_{mass}(\psi'_i) &= (m_1 \cos^2\theta + m_2 \sin^2\theta) \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1 + (m_1 \sin^2\theta + m_2 \cos^2\theta) \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_2 \\ &\quad + (m_1 - m_2) \cos\theta \sin\theta (\bar{\psi}_1 \psi_2 + \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass}(\psi'_i) = \mathcal{L}_{mass}(\psi_i) \quad \forall \psi_i \text{ and } \forall \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{aligned} m_1 \cos^2\theta + m_2 \sin^2\theta &= m_1 \\ m_1 \sin^2\theta + m_2 \cos^2\theta &= m_2 \\ (m_1 - m_2) \sin\theta \cos\theta &= 0 \end{aligned} \right. \Rightarrow m_1 = m_2$$



$$3.a \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \psi_i(x))} = i \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow j^\mu_{(2)} = i \bar{\psi}_1 \gamma^\mu \psi_2 - i \bar{\psi}_2 \gamma^\mu \psi_1$$

Let us compute:  $-i \partial_\mu j^\mu$ :

$$-i \partial_\mu j^\mu = \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}_1 \gamma^\mu \psi_2 + \bar{\psi}_1 \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_2 - \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}_2 \gamma^\mu \psi_1 - \bar{\psi}_2 \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_1$$

and we have

$$(i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad i \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu + m \bar{\psi} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_\mu j^\mu = -m \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_2 + m \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_2 + m \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_1 - m \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_1 = 0$$

3. b When  $g \neq 0$ , the Euler-Lagrange equations

become:

$$i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_i - m \psi_i - g (\bar{\psi}_j \psi_j) \psi_i = 0$$

and

$$-i \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu - m \bar{\psi}_i - g (\bar{\psi}_j \psi_j) \bar{\psi}_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow j^\mu = i \bar{\psi}_1 \gamma^\mu \psi_2 - i \bar{\psi}_2 \gamma^\mu \psi_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu j^\mu &= (-m \bar{\psi}_1 - g (\bar{\psi}_j \psi_j) \bar{\psi}_1) \psi_2 + \bar{\psi}_1 (m \psi_2 + g (\bar{\psi}_j \psi_j) \psi_2) \\ &\quad + (m \bar{\psi}_2 + g (\bar{\psi}_j \psi_j) \bar{\psi}_2) \psi_1 - \bar{\psi}_2 (m \psi_1 + g (\bar{\psi}_j \psi_j) \psi_1) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$j^\mu$  is the Noether current of the old symmetry