

## 6. HARTREE-FOCK THEORY

(1)

### Independent particle approximation

Remember that the final goal is to solve the many-body Schrödinger equation

$$H|\Psi_k\rangle = E_k |\Psi_k\rangle \quad (1)$$

The lowest-energy state  $|\Psi_0\rangle$  is the ground state of the system and  $E_0$  the ground-state energy.

$H$  is the nuclear Hamiltonian, expressed in first quantisation as

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^A \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j=1}^A V_{ij} + \dots$$

and in second quantisation as

$$H = \sum_{\alpha\beta} t_{\alpha\beta} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} v_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}^{\dagger} a_{\gamma} a_{\delta} + \dots$$

An observable associated to an operator  $\hat{A}$  is computed as

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle \Psi_k | \hat{A} | \Psi_k \rangle$$

Now, the solution of equation (1) is generally quite complicated.

The presence of two-body (and higher-body) operators in  $H$  introduces correlations between the different particles and implies that

$$|\Psi_k\rangle \neq \text{Slater determinants}$$

On the other hand, if particles of a many-body system are independent we have

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^A h_i$$

i.e. the Hamiltonian is a one-body operator only.

Then

$$H |\Psi_k\rangle = E_k |\Psi_k\rangle \longrightarrow h_i |\phi_k^i\rangle = e_k^i |\phi_k^i\rangle$$

A-body wave function
one-body wave function  
( $i=1, \dots, A$  but same equation for all  $i$ )

i.e. we go from an  $A$ -body problem to  $A$  one-body problems, and

$$|\Psi_k\rangle \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^A |\phi_k^i\rangle.$$

Can one actually approximate  $H \approx \sum_{i=1}^A h_i$  in the case of nuclear systems? Does it make any sense? Is there any empirical justification?

We know that

- the interparticle distance in nuclei is about  $2 \text{ fm}$
- the range of nuclear interactions is about  $2 \text{ fm}$

hence, of comparable size. One would conclude that nucleons are strongly interacting and cannot be approximated as independent particles.

However

(3)

- the nucleon mean-free path is large, about 5 fm (it can be extracted from experiment + theoretical calculations)
- there is empirical evidence for a "shell structure" in nuclei (systematic deviations of measured binding energies vs. liquid-drop predictions + one-nucleon knockout experiments)

Note: independent particles does not mean free particles!

Independent particles can be under the influence of an average one-body potentials (somehow averaging the effect of interactions with all other particles).

How do we find a convenient one-body potential?

Hartree-Fock ansatz

Main idea: Find the Slater determinant  $|\Phi\rangle$  that yields the best ground-state energy and approximate the exact many-body wave function  $|\Psi\rangle$  by  $|\Phi\rangle$

- Best ground-state energy = as close as possible to the exact energy
- Of which one-body field  $h$  the basis used to build  $|\Phi\rangle$  is an eigenbasis?

- How does  $H$  influence the determination of  $h$ ?
- Concretely, the way to determine the optimal  $|\phi\rangle$  is through the minimisation of

$$E^{HF} \equiv \frac{\langle \phi | H | \phi \rangle}{\langle \phi | \phi \rangle}$$

under the constraints that

- i) basis functions  $\{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1, \dots, A}$  are orthonormal
- ii)  $\rho$  is idempotent

↓

Ritz variational principle implies that  $E^{HF} \geq E_0$ .

i.e.  $E^{HF}$  provides an upper bound to the exact ground-state energy

- In practice: express  $E^{HF}$  in terms of the variational parameters ( $\alpha_\alpha$  or  $\psi_\alpha(\vec{r}_i)$ ) and perform the minimisation

### Equations of motion

First, recall that Wick's theorem applied to  $H$  with respect to  $|\phi\rangle$  leads to

$$H = \sum_{\alpha\beta} t_{\alpha\beta} \rho_{\beta\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \bar{V}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \rho_{\gamma\alpha} \rho_{\beta\delta} + \sum_{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} : a^\dagger_\alpha a_\beta :$$

$= H_0$  (zero- + one-body part)

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \bar{V}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} : a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}^{\dagger} a_{\gamma} a_{\delta} :$$

(5)

$$= H_1 \quad (\text{residual two-body part})$$

Now, the fully contracted (= zero-body) part of  $H_0$  is nothing else than the Hartree-Fock energy

$$E^{\text{HF}} = \frac{\langle \phi | H | \phi \rangle}{\langle \phi | \phi \rangle} = \sum_{\alpha\beta} t_{\alpha\beta} \rho_{\beta\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \bar{V}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \rho_{\beta\alpha} \rho_{\delta\gamma} \equiv E[\rho]$$

The one-body part of  $H_0$  is instead the Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian

$$h_{\alpha\beta} \equiv t_{\alpha\beta} + U_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{HF}} = t_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\gamma\delta} \bar{V}_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta} \rho_{\delta\gamma}$$

The minimisation of  $E[\rho]$  under the constraint  $\rho^2 = \rho$  is done via the Lagrange method with a matrix of Lagrange parameters  $\Lambda$

$$\delta \left[ E[\rho] - \text{Tr} \left\{ \Lambda (\rho^2 - \rho) \right\} \right] = 0$$

Since the density matrix is hermitian ( $\rho_{\mu\nu}^* = \rho_{\nu\mu}$ ) the irreducible set of independent variational parameters is

$$\rho_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{\mu\nu}^* \quad \text{for } \nu < \mu$$

$$\rho_{\mu\mu} \quad \forall \mu$$

(6)

Then, the variation of the energy yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta E[\rho] &= \sum_{\nu\mu} \left[ \frac{\delta E[\rho]}{\delta \rho_{\mu\nu}} \delta \rho_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\delta E[\rho]}{\delta \rho_{\mu\nu}^*} \delta \rho_{\mu\nu}^* \right] + \sum_{\mu} \frac{\delta E[\rho]}{\delta p_{\mu\mu}} \delta p_{\mu\mu} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu\nu} \left[ h_{\nu\mu} \delta \rho_{\mu\nu} + h_{\nu\mu}^* \delta \rho_{\mu\nu}^* \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ h \delta \rho + h^* \delta \rho^* \right\} \\
 &= \text{Tr} \left\{ h \delta \rho \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

The variation of the constraints yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta \text{Tr} \left\{ \Lambda (\rho^2 - \rho) \right\} &= \sum_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho_{\mu\nu}} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda_{\alpha\beta} \left[ \sum_{\gamma} \rho_{\beta\gamma} \rho_{\gamma\alpha} - \rho_{\beta\alpha} \right] \delta \rho_{\mu\nu} \\
 &= \text{Tr} \left\{ (\rho \Lambda + \Lambda \rho - \Lambda) \delta \rho \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two

$$\text{Tr} \left\{ (h - \rho \Lambda - \Lambda \rho + \Lambda) \delta \rho \right\} = 0$$

which has to be true for an arbitrary variation  $\delta \rho$ , hence

$$h - p\Lambda - \Lambda p + \Lambda = 0$$

(7)

Multiplying by  $p$  on the left or on the right

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} ph - p^2\Lambda - p\Lambda p + p\Lambda = 0 \quad (A) \\ hp - p\Lambda p - \Lambda p^2 + \Lambda p = 0 \quad (B) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} ph - p^2\Lambda - p\Lambda p + p\Lambda = 0 \quad (A) \\ hp - p\Lambda p - \Lambda p^2 + \Lambda p = 0 \quad (B) \end{array} \right.$$

$$(B) - (A) \Rightarrow hp - p\Lambda p - \underbrace{\Lambda p^2}_{= \Lambda p} + \Lambda p - ph + \underbrace{p^2\Lambda}_{= p\Lambda} + p\Lambda p - p\Lambda = 0$$

use  $p^2 = p$   $\swarrow$   $\searrow$

$$\Rightarrow hp - ph = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [h, p] = 0$$

Therefore, the basis minimising the energy is the one that diagonalises  $h$  and  $p$  simultaneously.

$\hookrightarrow$  basis  $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1, \dots, \infty}$  solution of

$$h|a\rangle = e_a |a\rangle$$

$$\text{where now } e_a = h_{aa} = t_{aa} + \sum_{i=1}^A \bar{V}_{aia} d_i$$

Comments:

- Not a standard eigenvalue problem since  $h = h(p)$
- $\rightarrow$  iterative or "self-consistent" problem

HF potential referred to as "self-consistent potential"

(8)

- A signature of this non-linearity is already visible when computing  $E^{HF}$  in terms of the single-particle energies  $e_\alpha$

Naturally one would expect  $E^{HF} = \sum_i e_i$

where  $i$  labels the occupied states ("hole" states)

However, in the HF basis

$$\rho_{\beta\alpha} = n_\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad (\text{occupied})$$

↓ occupation number =

$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{for holes} \\ 0 & \text{for particles} \\ & (\text{unoccupied}) \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow h_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\alpha\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta} = e_\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

and

$$h_{\alpha\alpha} = t_{\alpha\alpha} + \sum_i \bar{V}_{\alpha i \alpha i}$$

The HF instead reads

$$\begin{aligned} E^{HF} &= \sum_i t_{ii} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \bar{V}_{ijij} \\ &= \sum_i t_{ii} + \sum_{ij} \bar{V}_{ijij} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \bar{V}_{ijij} \\ &= \sum_i e_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \bar{V}_{ijij} \neq \sum_i e_i \end{aligned}$$



## Solving HF equations.

(9)

As mentioned, an iterative process is needed

1) One first arbitrarily chooses a set of  $A$  occupied orbitals. In practice, they should be as realistic as possible, e.g. eigenstates of a Woods-Saxon potential or harmonic oscillator potential. Let us denote the corresponding state with  $|\alpha^{(0)}\rangle$

2) From these orbitals, one constructs the corresponding density matrix  $\rho^{(0)}$  and computes the HF Hamiltonian

$$h^{(0)} = t + U^{(0)}$$

3) One diagonalises the HF Hamiltonian

$$h^{(0)} |\alpha\rangle = e_\alpha |\alpha\rangle$$

and obtains a new set of orbitals  $|\alpha^{(1)}\rangle$  with corresponding energies  $e_\alpha^{(1)}$ .

4) One repeats steps 2) and 3) until the difference between  $|\alpha^{(n-1)}\rangle$  and  $|\alpha^{(n)}\rangle$  is small enough

## Koopmans theorem

(10)

Assuming that single-particle wave functions  $\{\psi_a\}_{a=1, \dots, \infty}$  do not change when adding or removing a nucleon, one has

$$\bar{E}^{\text{HF}} \left[ \{\psi_a\}_A + \psi_a \right] - \bar{E}^{\text{HF}} \left[ \{\psi_a\}_A \right] = +e_a$$

$$\bar{E}^{\text{HF}} \left[ \{\psi_a\}_A - \psi_i \right] - \bar{E}^{\text{HF}} \left[ \{\psi_a\}_A \right] = -e_i$$

→ Non-trivial result given that  $\bar{E}^{\text{HF}} \neq \sum_{i=1}^A e_i$

→ Provides  $\{e_a\}$  with a clear physical meaning (within the HF approximation)

→ Bad approximation of the observable  $E_k^{A \pm 1} - E_0^A$  if HF is missing important correlations

## Beyond HF approximation

Going back to the normal-ordered form of the Hamiltonian

$$H = \underbrace{\bar{E}^{\text{HF}} + \sum_{dp} h_{dp} : a_p^\dagger a_p :}_{= H_0} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{4} \sum_{dpqs} \bar{V}_{dpqs} : a_p^\dagger a_q^\dagger a_s a_r :}_{= H_1}$$

HF approximation = neglect  $H_1$  and work only with  $H^{\text{HF}} = H_0$

In the HF basis

(11)

$$H^{HF} = \bar{E}^{HF} + \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} : a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha} :$$

$$\Rightarrow H^{HF} |\phi\rangle = E^{HF} |\phi\rangle$$

but, also,  $H^{HF}$  displays other eigenstates that can be depicted as particle-hole (ph) excitations on top of the reference vacuum

$$H^{HF} |\phi_{ijk\dots}^{abc\dots}\rangle = \bar{E}_{ijk\dots}^{abc\dots} |\phi_{ijk\dots}^{abc\dots}\rangle$$

where

$$|\phi_{ijk\dots}^{abc\dots}\rangle \equiv a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}^{\dagger} a_{\gamma}^{\dagger} \dots a_{\mu} a_{\nu} a_{\rho} \dots |\phi\rangle$$

$$\bar{E}_{ijk\dots}^{abc\dots} = \bar{E}^{HF} + \epsilon_{\alpha} + \epsilon_{\beta} + \epsilon_{\gamma} \dots - \epsilon_{\mu} - \epsilon_{\nu} - \epsilon_{\rho} \dots$$

The set of these eigenstates form a complete basis of the  $A$ -body Hilbert space.

The exact ground-state energy is

$$E_0 = \bar{E}^{HF} + \Delta \bar{E}_0^{HF}$$

due to effects of  $H_1$

→ Various methods (many-body techniques) exist to compute

$$\Delta \bar{E}_0^{HF} \quad (\text{MBPT, CC, NCSM, } \dots)$$

many-body perturbation theory

coupled cluster

no-core shell model

